

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/502,240	07/21/2004	Sadao Omata	IS.14-002	3991
D Brent Kenad	7590 07/30/2007	·	EXAM	NER
Wells St John		FOREMAN, JONATHAN M		
Suite 1300 601 West First	Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Spokane, WA 99201-3828		,3736		
·				
			. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

\$ e*			f		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/502,240	OMATA, SADAO		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jonathan ML Foreman	3736		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exter - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			•		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/30/	<u>07</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1 - 17 and 19 - 21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 - 7 is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4,20 and 21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2, 3, 8 - 17 and 19 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	n from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/502,240

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leonard et al. (6063044). In regard to claim 1, Leonard et al. shows an elasticity measuring device 20, a probe base 23, at least one probe comprising the upper, internal, and lower housings, 42,60, and 29 respectively, arranged around the probe base, a resilient arm member 68 which comprises spring member 66, a stress detection sensor 58 that is secured to the probe (Column 5, lines 50-55), and a deviation detection sensor 55,56, wherein the elasticity of the biological tissue is measured based on the stress and deviation magnitude when the probe is pressed onto and return from the tissue. Leonard et al. shows a stress detection sensor 58 comprising of a distortion gauge. The entirety of the resilient arm member is a solid structure and includes resiliency.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/30/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts that the probe base disclosed by Leonard et al. is not "for being inserted into the canal part of the human body". However, A recitation with respect to the manner in which an apparatus is intended to be employed does not impose any structural limitation upon the claimed apparatus that differentiates it from a prior art reference disclosing the structural limitations of the claim. In re Pearson, 494 F.2d 1399, 181 USPQ 641 (CCPA 1947); In re Yanush, 477 F.2d 958, 177 USPQ705 (CCPA 1973); In re Finsterwalder, 436 F.2d 1028, 168 USPQ 530 (CCPA 1971); In re Casey,

Application/Control Number: 10/502,240

Art Unit: 3736

370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458 (CCPA 1963); * Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (BbPatApp & Inter 1987).

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 2, 3, 8 - 17 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan ML Foreman whose telephone number is (571)272-4724. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (571)272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JMLF

MITALISTE ENBURG